WALTER RECKENDORFER VERBUND

Dr. Walter Reckendorfer, VERBUND Hydro Power GmbH, Vienna, Austria. After his scientific career at the University of Vienna, Dr Walter Reckendorfer has been working as an aquatic ecologist at VERBUND Hydro Power GmbH since 2013. His work focuses on the ecology and hydromorphology of aquatic ecosystems. He is actively involved in the continuous improvement of aquatic ecology at all existing VERBUND run-of-river power plants as well as in the development of new hydropower sites in Austria and Bavaria.



Funded by the European Union

www.etip-hydropower.eu



SIGNIFICANT IMPACT OF HYDROPOWER ON ENVIRONMENT AND BIODIVERSITY: IS THIS NARRATIVE GENERALLY APPLICABLE?

Walter Reckendorfer

VERBUND Hydro Power GmbH



Funded by the European Union

www.etip-hydropower.eu



Outline

- Background
- The VHP approach to mitigate HPP effects
- Three (best practice) examples
 - LIFE Traisen
 - LIFE Netzwerk Donau (fishway at HPP Ottensheim-Wilhering)
 - Fishway at HPP Ering-Frauenstein / Inn river
- Challenges / Replicability



Background

- Hydropower provides renewable energy and flexibility and plays an important role in the de-carbonisation of our energy systems
- Like all forms of energy generation, hydropower has some environmental impacts, both on aquatic and terrestrial habitats near water bodies
- Specific impacts of hydropower
 - Fish migration
 - Sediment continuity
 - Hydropeaking

•

• Habitat alteration (impoundment, lateral connectivity – floodplains, ...)

The VHP approach to mitigate HPP effects Success Story LIFE Projects



an der Donau

Verband

Projektdauer

2009-2019

2011-2020

2019-2021

2020-2028

2021-2026

2004-2009

2021-2025

2011-2015





The VHP approach to mitigate HPP effects

- Achieve good ecological status/potential using a cost-effective combination of measures
- Lessons learned and recommendations
 - Think systemically (don't tackle individual problems, look at the whole picture)
 - Don't stick to paradigms (e.g. fish passes don't work for downstream migration)
 - Use synergistic approaches (e.g. nature-based solutions)
 - Technical solutions are not always the best answer, although they are often promoted in guidelines
 - Implement best practice





Fish protection





Life Traisen

2013 - 2016







- The LIFE+ project "Lebensraum im Mündungsabschnitt des Flusses Traisen" is the largest LIFE project dealing with (semi)aquatic habitats in Austria.
- € 30 Mio
- 10 km new river corridor
- Riverbed 30 m
- Lowering of the floodplain at about 60 m– 60 ha new
- 160 Engineered log jams / Large woody debris
- 30 ha dry grassland (6210)
- The project created a new large natural river ecosystem with connected tributaries and adjacent floodplains typical for the Danube River.
- The results with regard to fauna, flora, and habitats exceed all expectations.





year	bird species		
2018	111!		
2009	72		

	C. LEWY			100
		A Star	R.	-
J.S.			A	
T PH			der.	

A.4	Rote Liste		Anzahl Reviere/Brutpaare		
Arten	Ö	NÖ	Jahr 2009	Jahr 2018	
Flussregenpfeifer <i>Charadrius dubius</i>	VU	VU	1(–2)	7(–8)	
Flussuferläufer <i>Actitis hypoleucos</i>	EN	EN	0	3(–5)	
Eisvogel <i>Alcedo atthis</i>	VU	EN	7(–9)	10(–15)	
Uferschwalbe Riparia riparia	NT	NT	0	Große Kolonie (ca. 210 frische Brutröhren) und einzelne Brut- röhren	

Biodiversity





- Fish ecological status from bad to (very) good
- Habitats of European significance (ca. 60 ha softwood forest)
- Steep banks with Riparia riparia (new in the area), Kingfisher (breeding pairs increased from 9 to 15, gravel breeders from 2 to 13 pairs)
- Forest birds benefit from high insect availability
- Extremely high densities of dragonflies





Fishway Ottensheim-Wilhering

2016 - 2018

LIFE Netzwerk Donau



netzwerk donau 🕽



- The approach of providing both fish migration and high-quality key habitats – ensures a significant contribution to the goals of the Water Framework Directive as well as the Habitats Directive.
- 14.2 km nature-like fish way
- Finished in 2016
- Integration of natural water bodies
- Restauration measures in the water bodies
- Habitat improvement & creation
- Increased connectivity (lateral & longitudinal)





NbS for bi-directional fish movement



Date

		-
٠	Antenna 1 (exit)	
•	Antenna 2 (below exit)	
•	Antenna 3 (Aschach)	
•	Antenna 4 (Innbach)	
•	Antenna 5 (Entrance)	

- 404 nase (5 batches) released downstream
- 276 of 404 nase (68 %) detected in the fish pass;
- 251 of 341 nase > 20 cm (74 %) detected in the fish pass
- The first and last detections are mostly on the downstream antenna - most fish enter and leave the fishway from and to the tailwater.
 - Most of the fish use the fishway not only for spawning, but the whole growing season from March to October





NbS for bi-directional fish movement



- 190 nase (one batch) released upstream
- 80 of 190 nase (42 %) detected in the fish pass;
- 73 of 123 nase > 20 cm (59 %) detected in the fish pass
- The first and last detections are mostly on the upstream antenna - most fish enter and leave the fishway from and to the impoundment.
- Most of the fish use the fishway not only for spawning, but the whole growing season from March to October







- The bypass river at Ering restored the longitudinal connectivity for the species rich Inn fish fauna, and created spawning und nursery grounds for rheophilic species
- The approach of providing both fish migration and high-quality key habitats – ensures a significant contribution to the goals of the Water Framework Directive as well as the Habitats Directive.
- Discharge: 2-12 m³/s
- Length. 2.6 km
- Slope: max 4.7 ‰



Spatially explicit population model

- → At the Ering-Frauenstein hydropower plant, a quantitative assessment of fish recruitment of selected river fish species was be carried out using spatially explicit population models.
- → Aim: to estimate the contribution of newly created habitats to the total fish population
- → Results: Additional fish biomass can be recruited through the measures implemented:
 - + 11.800 Grayling = 14,5 kg / ha
 - + 4.300 Nase = 17,6 kg / ha
 - + 6.200 Barbel = 10,1 kg / ha
 - + 3.100 Chub = 2,9 kg / ha
 - In total ca. 45 kg/ha additional fish biomass
- → Significant contribution to target achievement (good ecological status) in accordance with the Water Framework Directive.

Research and Innovation - Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries (Prof. Christian Wolter, David Faro)





Challenges / Replicability

Often big landowners: former aristocrats, church

In some parts hundreds of land owners per river-km; Little willingness to sell land (Ukraine crisis, High Inflation, ..)

Guidelines with a focus on technical solutions

Environmental Impact Assessment linked to forestry (> 10 ha logging / wood cutting) – law changed!

Often Compensation for habitats in Natura 2000 necessary, even if measures are foreseen in the management plans

Share experience: Together with other European renewable energy producers, we are now developing guidelines for the integration of renewable energy in an ongoing Eurelectric project



Take home message

- So the short answer is that, like all forms of energy generation, HPP has an impact on the environment, but a lot has changed from the past:
 - The increase in environmental awareness has led to the recognition that HPP operation includes a responsibility to protect the natural resources that depend on water
 - Large scale river restoration projects proved to be highly effective
 - NbS benefits not only fish, but biodiversity as a whole
 - All species and habitats typical for large river-floodplain systems benefit
- Challenges
 - Land availability
 - Existing guidelines (e.g. are recommendations developed for small HPP valid for large ones?)
 - Nature law (e.g. Natura 2000) vs. WFD but also a big chance



THANK YOU!

<u>Walter Reckendorfer,</u> walter.Reckendorfer@verbund.com